HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION

July 15, 2015 Agenda Item No: 22

HDRC CASE NO:	2015-284	
ADDRESS:	315 LAMAR ST	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	NCB 519 BLK 24 LOT 14	
ZONING:	R5 H	
CITY COUNCIL DIST.:	2	
DISTRICT:	Dignowity Hill Historic District	
APPLICANT:	CANT: Oscar Santana	
OWNER:	Corby Walker/CBW Financial Inc	
TYPE OF WORK:	New construction of two story single family house	

REQUEST:

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to construct a two story single family house on a vacant lot. The proposed house will have an attached garage. The structure will be clad in hardi plank siding with an asphalt shingle roof at the main house and standing seam metal at the front porch.

APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 4, Guidelines for New Construction

1. Building and Entrance Orientation

A. FAÇADE ORIENTATION

i. *Setbacks*—Align front facades of new buildings with front facades of adjacent buildings where a consistent setback has been established along the street frontage. Use the median setback of buildings along the street frontage where a variety of setbacks exist. Refer to UDC Article 3, Division 2. Base Zoning Districts for applicable setback requirements.

2. Building Massing and Form

A. SCALE AND MASS

i. *Similar height and scale*—Design new construction so that its height and overall scale are consistent with nearby historic buildings. In residential districts, the height and scale of new construction should not exceed that of the majority of historic buildings by more than one-story. In commercial districts, building height shall conform to the established pattern. If there is no more than a 50% variation in the scale of buildings on the adjacent block faces, then the height of the new building shall not exceed the tallest building on the adjacent block face by more than 10%.

iii. *Foundation and floor heights*—Align foundation and floor-to-floor heights (including porches and balconies) within one foot of floor-to-floor heights on adjacent historic structures.

B. ROOF FORM

i. *Similar roof forms*—Incorporate roof forms—pitch, overhangs, and orientation—that are consistent with those predominantly found on the block. Roof forms on residential building types are typically sloped, while roof forms on non-residential building types are more typically flat and screened by an ornamental parapet wall.

C. RELATIONSHIP OF SOLIDS TO VOIDS

i. *Window and door openings*—Incorporate window and door openings with a similar proportion of wall to window space as typical with nearby historic facades. Windows, doors, porches, entryways, dormers, bays, and pediments shall be considered similar if they are no larger than 25% in size and vary no more than 10% in height to width ratio from adjacent historic facades.

D. LOT COVERAGE

i. *Building to lot ratio*— New construction should be consistent with adjacent historic buildings in terms of the building to lot ratio. Limit the building footprint for new construction to no more than 50 percent of the total lot area, unless adjacent historic buildings establish a precedent with a greater building to lot ratio.

3. Materials and Textures

A. NEW MATERIALS

i. *Complementary materials*—Use materials that complement the type, color, and texture of materials traditionally found in the district. Materials should not be so dissimilar as to distract from the historic interpretation of the district. For

example, corrugated metal siding would not be appropriate for a new structure in a district comprised of homes with wood siding.

4. Architectural Details

A. GENERAL

i. *Historic context*—Design new buildings to reflect their time while respecting the historic context. While new construction should not attempt to mirror or replicate historic features, new structures should not be so dissimilar as to distract from or diminish the historic interpretation of the district.

5. Garages and Outbuildings

A. DESIGN AND CHARACTER

i. *Massing and form*—Design new garages and outbuildings to be visually subordinate to the principal historic structure in terms of their height, massing, and form.

ii. *Building size* – New outbuildings should be no larger in plan than 40 percent of the principal historic structure footprint.

iii. *Character*—Relate new garages and outbuildings to the period of construction of the principal building on the lot through the use of complementary materials and simplified architectural details.

B. SETBACKS AND ORIENTATION

i. *Orientation*—Match the predominant garage orientation found along the block. Do not introduce front-loaded garages or garages attached to the primary structure on blocks where rear or alley-loaded garages were historically used.

ii. *Setbacks*—Follow historic setback pattern of similar structures along the streetscape or district for new garages and outbuildings. Historic garages and outbuildings are most typically located at the rear of the lot, behind the principal building. In some instances, historic setbacks are not consistent with UDC requirements and a variance may be required.

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements

5. Sidewalks, Walkways, Driveways, and Curbing

A. SIDEWALKS AND WALKWAYS

iii. *Width and alignment*— Follow the historic alignment, configuration, and width of sidewalks and walkways. Alter the historic width or alignment only where absolutely necessary to accommodate the preservation of a significant tree. B. DRIVEWAYS

i. *Driveway configuration*—Retain and repair in place historic driveway configurations, such as ribbon drives. Incorporate a similar driveway configuration—materials, width, and design—to that historically found on the site. Historic driveways are typically no wider than 10 feet. Pervious paving surfaces may be considered where replacement is necessary to increase stormwater infiltration.

Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation

3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

FINDINGS:

- a. Consistent with the Guidelines for New Construction, new buildings should align with front facades of adjacent buildings when a consistent setback is established. Houses along the north side of Lamar Street have front setbacks that range between approximately 30ft to 60ft. The proposed house is consistent with the guidelines.
- b. As recommended by the Guidelines for New Construction, new buildings should have a height and overall scale consistent with nearby historic buildings. In residential districts, the height and scale of new construction should not exceed that of the majority of historic buildings by more than one-story. If there is no more than a 50% variation in the scale of buildings on the adjacent block faces, then the height of the new building shall not exceed the tallest building on the adjacent block face by more than 10%. The 300 block of Lamar Street is predominantly composed of one story houses. Only three two-story houses exist on the block. In addition, the single story houses across from the proposed site are very small and the proposed design will overwhelm the scale of these structures in height and scale. Setting the two-story mass of the home further back would be more appropriate to better relate to the small historic homes across the street.
- c. According to the Guidelines for New Construction, foundations should align within one foot of floor-to-floor

heights on adjacent historic structures. The proposed design has a raised foundation and is consistent with the guidelines.

- d. As recommended by the Guidelines for New Construction, new roof forms should be consistent with those predominantly found on the block in pitch, overhangs, and orientation. The proposed roof is consistent with other historic roofs in pitch and orientation. However, the composition of the roof should be simplified to be more consistent with the configuration of historic roofs.
- e. Consistent with the Guidelines for New Construction, window and door openings should have a similar proportion of wall to window space as typical nearby historic facades. Windows, doors, porches, entryways, dormers, bays, and pediments shall be considered similar if they are no larger than 25% in size and vary no more than 10% in height to width ratio from adjacent historic facades. The proposed fenestration pattern along the front of the house is consistent with the guidelines; however the fenestration pattern along the sides and rear should be revised to be more consistent with nearby historic facades. In addition, large expanses of black walls are not typical of historic facades and should be avoided.
- f. According to the Guidelines for New Construction, materials that complement the type, color, and texture of materials traditionally found in the district should be used. The proposed cement board siding may be appropriate if proper dimension, finish and texture is used, however wood siding would be more appropriate. In addition, use of materials should be simplified to provide a more consistent appearance throughout.
- a. Consistent with the Guidelines for New Construction, new buildings should be of their time while respecting the historic context. In addition, consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation #3, changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, should not be undertaken. The proposed columns create a false sense of history and are not an accurate representation of the building's time of construction. Simplified architectural detailing that does not compete with its historic context would be more appropriate.
- g. As recommended by the Guidelines for New Construction, new outbuildings should be visually subordinate to the principal historic structure in terms of their height, massing, and form; no larger in plan than 40 percent of the principal historic structure footprint; and relate to the period of construction of the principal building through the use of complementary materials and simplified architectural details. The proposed garage is consistent with the guidelines in height, mass, form and details.
- h. According to the Guidelines for New Construction, the predominant garage orientation found along the block should be matched. Garages attached to the primary structure should be avoided were not historically used. Garages within the Dignowity Hill Historic District are typically detached, located behind the main structure, and accessed through a front linear driveway or an alley. The proposed attached garage is not consistent with the historic pattern of garage placement and is not in keeping with the guidelines.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff does not recommend approval at this time based on findings a-h. Staff recommends the following:

- a. The mass of the two-story portion of the house is set back to better relate to adjacent historic structures
- b. Use of materials is simplified to be more consistent throughout
- c. Fenestration pattern along the sides and rear is revised to be more consistent with historic facades
- d. Architectural details are simplified
- e. Garage is detached to be consistent with historic garage placement
- f. Information on landscaping, fencing and mechanical system placement is submitted for review
- g. Specifications for windows and doors are submitted for review

CASE MANAGER:

Adriana Ziga



 N
 315 Lamar

 Powered by ArcGIS Server
 Printed:Jul 08, 2015

The City of San Antonio does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or usefulness of any information. The City does not warrant the completeness, timeliness, or positional, thematic, and attribute accuracy of the GIS data. The GIS data, cartographic products, and associated applications are not legal representations of the depicted data. Information shown on these maps is derived from public records that are constantly undergoing revision. Under no circumstances should GIS-derived products be used for final design purposes. The City provides this information on an "as is" basis without warranty of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, and assumes no responsibility for anyone's use of the information.



© 2015 Google © 2015 I NEGI © 2015 Google

Report a problem

0

315 Lamar T Exit Street View N Google earth

29°25'53.71" N 98°28'31.92" W elev 696 ft eye alt 704 ft











314 Lamar (across street)

M

24

DECEMBER OF THE OWNER



317 and 327 Lamar

322 and 326 Lamar

901 N Mesquite at Lama

533-4134









Amezquita

New Residence for Santana Remodeling 315 Lamar

> San Antonio Texas, 78210

	for historic review San Antono, Texas
REVISED	DATE
	ROJECT NO. 2015.011
DATE 06.24.2015	

SITE PLANS



Amezquita Design *studio*

515 Cosgrove San Antonio Texas 78210

Tel: 210.275.4559 Email: silorob@yahoo.com

Amezquita Design Studia, All Rights Reserved. This drawing and its reproductions are the property of Amequita Design Studia and may not be reproduced, published, or used in any way without the writtlen permission of Amezquita Design Studia.

Issued for Historic Review Not for Construction

06-15-2015

A2.1

I. ALL CONTRACTORS AND TRADES SHALL VERIFY CONDITIONS AFFECTING THEIR WORK, DIVESSION, FLIEHTS, GUARTISE, MATERIALS, ETC, AND SHALL COORDINATE ALL ITEMS INVOLVED INCLIDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO FINISHES, MATERIALS, PATTERNS, EQUIPMENT, PLIMBING, ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL, AND THE INTENED GUALITY.	New Residence for
2. CONTRACTORS SHALL SUPELY ALL LABOR, MATERIAS, SCAPTOLING, APPARATUR, BOUNTENT, COLS, SCURITY, TEMPORARY POKER AND LIGHTING, SCAPL AS ALL NECESSARY PERIFIS, LICHESE, INSURANCE, TAKE, FEE AND BOXES FOR THE ENTIRE AND PROFIRE EXECUTION AND COMPLETION OF THE WORK. AND RESTRE EXECUTION AND COMPLETION OF THE WORK. NO LAYELL BE AND MANTENAL WORK CO SAME AND PROFER AND LAYELL BE AND MAINTENALE OF SAME CONTRACTORS SHALL PRATHER PERFORM IN THE MOST COMPLETE AND ESST MORKHAULKE MARTER ALL MOST COMPLETE DOLMENTS, PROFINELY INCIDENTAL THERETO OF REASONABLY IMPLED INCLUDING ALL MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL MORK.	Santana Remodeling 315 Lamar
3. ALL BIDS SHALL BE QUALIFED IF INCERSARY TO REFLECT THE INTENT AND REGURRENTS OF THESE LAWS AND ALL CARFICATION INTER DISCUSSED WITH OWNER AND ASKRED TO BE FIRMINED, SUBMIT ADEQUATE SUPPLEMENT. BID DATA AND SCHEDLE OF VALUES TO OWNER TO SUBSTANTIATE BIDS AND ALL PRICES. THE PARTIES MAY ELECT TO REVIEN AND CLARIFY SPECIFIC ITEMS FROM TO DISTRIBUT ANA AGREEMENT.	San Antonio Texas, 78210
4. ALL SUPPLICIENT (TENS, TRIMS, MOLDINGS, FITTINGS, GROUDS, ARCHORS, CALINIS, SEALANTS, WATERPROOTING, FRAMINS, CONECTIONS, BLOCKING, FORMING, ET, RECEBARY TO PROPERTY, DECIDE EACH (TIME) OF MORE SHALL BE PROVIDED IN PROPERTY DECIDE EACH (TIME) OF MORE SHALL BE PROVIDED IN TO THE OWNER, IF REASONABLY IMPLIED AND IS A COMMON TO THE OWNER, IF REASONABLY IMPLIED AND IS A COMMON TRACE FRANCTICE FOR SUPERIOR MORE IN THIS AREA.	for historic review San Antono, Texas revelet DATE
5. GOORDINATE AND CLARIFY INTI OWER ALL ALLOWAKES, CONTROVERS FORTING LEATINGS AND OFFICIAL INTER INT BID SUBMITAL, SUBMIT A LIST OF EXJIPACTIN, FIXTRES, MATERIAS, TIMI, ETC, PROFORED TAI'S IN OVIC LEARLY SPELLED OUT IN FLANS AND SPECS TO OWNER FOR APPROVAL PROR TO CONSTRUCTION.	
6, ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH CITY BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS, NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE AND BEST TRADE REACTICES	PROJECT NO. 2015.011 DATE 06.24.2015
1. SUMMI PLANS TO ARCHITECTRAL CONTROL COMMITTEE FOR APPROVAL (08, VERIENT ANTA PRPROVAL HAB SEEN OSTANED) IF APPLICABLE, NOTIFY OWNER, OF BULDING PERMIT "NOTATIONS" AND RELITED INSPECTOR REPORTING CONSTRUCTION, VERIENT THAT ALL PERMITS HAVE BEEN PROPERLY OSTANED.	
6. FOUNDATION CONTRACTOR MUST COORDINATE FOUNDATION DRAWINGS WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS ON THE UDS. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE SURE THIS IS DONE EBPECIALLY DROPS, LUSS, DIVENSIONS, CURBS, MATER PROOFING, GRADES, ETC. MASOMEY LUSS MILL BE LOARRED TO A DESIGNATED MAXIMUM DISTANCE ABOVE FINISH GRADES AND MUST BE "DROPPED" AS REQUIRED.	PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN

BOOT FLAK GREENL MODE IN COOT STATUS AND SUPPORT DEGEN CATERAL VERTIN FOR TROOT PRETALTORS- CONTROL AND FOR TROOT PRETALTORS- CONTROL AND FOR TROOT PACE AND FOR TROOT PACE MAN TRONG STATUS MAN TROOP MAN TRONG STATUS MAN TRONG STATUS MAN TROOP MAN TR	Amezquita Design <i>studio</i>	
	515 Cosgrove San Antonio	
4	PROVIDE GALVALINE GUTTERS AND DOWNSPOUTS, COORDINATE ALL RELEVIENCES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.	Texas 78210



Сонятистики и токи на Терена Виде и силото всеми Виде от выслов всеми © соня, поре выса © соня, поре выса виде со выслов всеми © соня, поре выса виде со выслов всеми

Amergalia Design Studia, All Rights Reserved. This drawing and its reproductions of the property of not be reproduced, patihink, or used in ory usey situation the written permission of Amergalia Design Studia. Issued for Historic Review Not for Construction

06-15-2015

New Residence for Santana Remodeling 315 Lamar

> San Antonio Texas, 78210

for historic review San Antono, Texas

PROJECT NO. 2015.011

DATE 06.24.2015

ROOF PLAN A3.1



ELEVATIONS

A5.1



