

HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION

August 05, 2015

Agenda Item No: 26

HDRC CASE NO: 2015-302
ADDRESS: 2241 W MISTLETOE
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NCB 6829 BLK LOT E 20 FT OF 45 & W 40 FT OF 46
ZONING: R6 H
CITY COUNCIL DIST.: 7
DISTRICT: Monticello Park Historic District
APPLICANT: Adriana Garcia-Becker
OWNER: Adriana Garcia-Becker
TYPE OF WORK: Fencing
REQUEST:

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to keep 4' high black wrought iron fencing installed in the front yard.

APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements

2. Fences and Walls

B. NEW FENCES AND WALLS

- i. *Design*—New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure.
- ii. *Location*—Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them.
- iii. *Height*—Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
- iv. *Prohibited materials*—Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link *fencing*.

FINDINGS:

- a. The applicant was issued a notice of violation for installing a front yard fence without a COA on June 8, 2015.
- b. According to the Guidelines on Location of New Fences and Walls, 2.B.ii, installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exists should be avoided, particularly within the front yard. The majority of properties along W. Mistletoe, surrounding blocks and within the Monticello Park Historic District do not contain front yard fencing. Currently, approximately 6 of the 40 properties on W. Mistletoe between Kampman Blvd. and Lake Blvd. contain front yard fencing.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff does not recommend approval based on finding b.

CASE MANAGER:

Adam Ronan

APPROVED "Front Yard Fence" By Historic

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO

Development Services Department

WHAT DO YOU WANT TO BUILD TODAY?

Permit Detail

A/P Type: MISC NO REVIEW**A/P NBR:** 1854995**Address:** 2301 W KINGS HWY**A/P Status:** CLOSED**New or Existing:** EXISTG**Use Code:** RESIDENTIAL**Contractor Name:****Contractor Phone:****Owner Name:** EDUARDO D DE HOYOS**License:****Plan Number:** 1**Tenant Name:****Date Issued:** 1/14/2013 1:14:58 PM**Expiration Date:** 12/1/2013 4:19:42 PM**Permit Fee (Paid):** \$26.50**Permit Fee (Unpaid):** \$0.00**Paid Date:****Number of Units:****Nbr of Stories:****Estimated Cost Value:** \$0.00**Structure Sq. Ft:** 0**DBA Name:****ALCO Bev Flag:****Contact:** EDUARDO D DE HOYOS**Description of Work:** 4 FT. WROUGHT IRON FENCE @ FRONT W/DR. GATE @ LEFT FRONT SIDE (IS A CORNER LOT) NOT TO GO OVER ANY EASEMENT TO COMPLY W/IRC & UDC ORDINANCE 35-506(D)(5)--APPROVED BY HISTORIC**Garage Sale Date:****Non-Profit Status:****Use Details:**[Back](#)[Main Menu](#)[Dynamic Portal](#)[SERVICES](#) | [LIVING IN SA](#) | [BUSINESS IN SA](#) | [GOVERNMENT](#) | [VISITING SA](#)[COMMUNITY PORTAL HOME](#) | [CITY DISCLAIMER](#) | [TEXT ONLY](#)[Contact Customer Service](#)Website best viewed using [Microsoft Internet Explorer 5.0 and above](#) or [Netscape 6.2](#) with screen resolution settings of 800x600.



























