# **CITY OF SAN ANTONIO**

......

## **OFFICE OF THE CITY AUDITOR**



Follow-Up Audit of the Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD)

Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone (TIRZ) Operations

Project No. AU16-F01

October 19, 2016

Kevin W. Barthold, CPA, CIA, CISA City Auditor

### **Executive Summary**

As part of our annual Audit Plan approved by City Council, we conducted a follow-up audit of the action plans made in the Audit of the Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Program dated April 7, 2014. The objective for this follow-up audit is:

# Determine if Planning and Community Development effectively implemented action plans to address prior audit recommendations relating to the Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone (TIRZ) Operations.

We determined that the Department of Planning and Community Development (TIF Unit) implemented action plans to address the recommendations from the prior audit. Areas that were effectively implemented include:

- A Governance Committee was established in February 2014, which serves as counsel for the approval of new TIRZ projects and for TIRZ projects with issues or concerns.
- The 2008 TIF Program Policy was updated and approved by City Council. Additionally, internal policies and procedures over administration of the TIF Program were created and are being followed by department personnel.
- A standard process for updating finance plans for all TIRZ projects has been implemented.
- Internal controls over the collection of revenue from administrative fees and the disbursements for developer reimbursements have been implemented.

We did note some administrative areas that should be improved to strengthen the effectiveness of the internal controls related to the accuracy of the data used to populate financial reporting. Specifically, reviews and reconciliations should be conducted to ensure the accuracy of the financial data reported to the State Comptroller as well as the tax increment revenue invoiced and collected from participating taxing entities.

Additionally, during our interviews with the TIF Unit and Finance department staff, we noted a communication barrier between the two departments. Due to the lack of communication we identified work redundancies with regard to project deliverables. Defining roles and responsibilities as well as sharing of information between departments would assist to alleviate redundancies in areas such as the developer reimbursement approval process and reporting of financial information to the Governance Committee and TIRZ Boards.

The Department of Planning and Community Development Management's verbatim response is in Appendix B on page 9.

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	i
Background	1
Audit Scope and Methodology	2
Prior Audit Recommendations and Status	3
A. TIF Program Lacks Appropriate Governance Structure	3
B. TIF Program Lacks Appropriate Administrative Controls	3
Appendix A – Staff Acknowledgement	8
Appendix B – Management Response	9

## Background

In April 2014, the Office of the City Auditor completed an audit of the Department of Planning and Community Development's (DPCD) Tax Increment Financing Program. The objective of that audit was as follows:

## Are the appropriate controls and governance structures in place to properly manage the Tax Increment Financing Program?

The Office of the City Auditor determined that DPCD did not have appropriate governance structures in place to properly manage the TIF Program, resulting in operational control deficiencies, as summarized below.

- Executive management had not assigned overall responsibility for ensuring the TIF Program was in line with the City's overall strategic and operational goals and policies.
- Guidelines and criteria for governing the TIF Program were not being managed in accordance with the 2008 TIF Manual and Texas Tax Code.
- TIRZ finance plans were not being updated annually as prescribed by the TIF manual.
- Lack of controls in the billing process of tax increments, the collection of revenue from administrative fees, and the disbursement process of TIF monies.
- Internal policies and procedures regarding basic TIRZ transactions did not exist resulting in inconsistent processes, lack of internal controls, and insufficient knowledge and guidance of appropriate handling of public funding.

Management agreed with our recommendations and developed action plans to address all items.

## Audit Scope and Methodology

The audit scope was limited to the recommendations and corresponding action plans from the original report for the time frame of FY 2015.

The audit methodology included interviewing TIF Unit and Finance personnel to obtain an understanding of newly implemented controls. We also reviewed source documents such as policies and procedures, amended TIF Program Policy, project and finance plans, Tax Assessor long sheets, Participating Taxing Entity (PTE) invoices, journal entries, contract progress payment request (CPPR) packets, TIRZ Board meeting minutes, state tax codes, and the 2014 annual legislative report.

We relied on computer-processed data in the City's SAP accounting system. Our reliance was based primarily on performing direct tests on the data rather than evaluating the system's general and application controls. We do not believe that the absence of testing general and application controls had an effect on the results of our audit.

We conducted this follow-up performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our audit results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our audit results and conclusions based on our audit

## Prior Audit Recommendations and Status

#### A. TIF Program Lacks Appropriate Governance Structure

#### Prior recommendation:

The Director of Planning and Community Development (DPCD) should institute a Tax Increment Financing Governance Committee comprised of multiple stakeholders with the authority to make decisions on behalf of the City as to avoid conflicts in city goals and objectives. The committee should include stakeholders made up of 3 levels of decision making: Strategic (i.e. COSA Executives); Tactical (i.e. Boards and City Leadership); Operational (City staff) that provide oversight and direction of the TIF Program.

#### Status: Implemented

A TIF Governance Committee was established in February 2014. Current members include Deputy City Manager, Chief Financial Officer, Finance Director, Director and Assistant Director of the Department of Planning and Community Development, Interim Director of the Center City Development Office, and Deputy City Attorney. The Committee acts as counsel for the approval of new TIRZ projects, provides guidance as needed to the TIF Program, and serves as a mediator between parties for TIRZ projects with issues or concerns. The TIF Program, to include monitoring of TIRZ projects, is administered by the TIF Unit. Ultimately, final decisions for each TIRZ project are made by their respective TIRZ Board and City Council.

#### **B. TIF Program Lacks Appropriate Administrative Controls**

#### Prior recommendation:

The Director of Planning and Community Development should ensure appropriate controls and governance structures are in place to effectively utilize, administer, and monitor the overall TIF Program, to include:

- 1. Updating TIF manual and submitting to City Council for approval.
- 2. Updating project and finance plans for all TIRZ.
- 3. Updating controls over the collection of revenue (i.e. taxes and administrative fees) and over the disbursement process.
- 4. Creation of internal policies and procedures over the administration of the TIF Program.

#### 1. & 4. Approval of TIF Manual & Creation of Polices and Procedures

#### Status: Implemented

The TIF Unit updated the 2008 TIF Program Policy, and it was approved by City Council through Ordinance 2015-05-14-0419. Additionally, internal policies and procedures were created over the administration of the TIF and were approved by the Director of DPCD and presented to the Governance Committee on February 9, 2016. Policies and procedures include references to applicable state statutes and local government codes, and are being followed by appropriate department personnel.

#### 2. Updates to Financial Data

#### Status: Partially Implemented

A standard process to ensure that finance plans for all TIRZ projects are updated was implemented. Financial data is recorded in the finance plans and is considered to be in draft format until reviewed and approved by Finance Management. Updates made to the finance plan include revenues, expenses, CCHIP and CH380 agreements, new projects within the TIRZ, administrative fees, and property valuations. Finance plans are reviewed and finalized by Finance when the related TIRZ projects are subject to be presented to City Council by the TIF Unit.

We randomly selected 10 out of 20 active TIRZ projects and reviewed their financial activity for FY 2015. Testing included verifying that tax increments received, developer reimbursements paid, and administrative fees collected were accurately reported. Additionally, we verified that the financial data followed the format outlined in the prior audit's action plan and was reconciled to SAP by year with no exception.

In addition to presenting updated TIRZ projects to City Council, the TIF Unit is responsible for providing financial updates to the TIRZ Boards. TIRZ projects are managed by members of a Board that were appointed by City Council. TIRZ Board members are responsible for helping to create or amend TIRZ projects and finance plans, approve contractual agreements, approve reimbursement requests from developers, and make decisions on how the TIF funds are spent. However, updated financial information found on the finance plans such as project projections, interest calculations, and property valuations are not always made available for TIRZ Board meetings because the approval process mentioned above has not occurred. Consequently, the Finance team is reluctant to release the finance plans to the TIF Unit.

While an action plan for updating finance plans was implemented, a risk still exists that a TIRZ project may be presented to the TIRZ Board with outdated and/or inaccurate financial information. As a result, TIRZ Board decisions may be based on inaccurate or incomplete information.

#### **Compliance with the Texas Tax Increment Financing Act**

We also reviewed compliance with Texas Statute – Tax Code Chapter 311 – The Tax Increment Financing Act. The statute requires the TIF Unit to submit an annual report on the status of each TIRZ to the CEO of each taxing entity in each zone and a copy to the State Comptroller. In order to complete the report the TIF Unit obtains data from SAP and a report provided by the Tax Assessor's office (Tax Assessor long sheets). During our walkthrough with the Economic Development Manager, we noted that a process to verify the accuracy of the data reported to the State does not exist.

Consequently, we performed our own review of a random sample of 10 TIRZ projects to ensure that the financial data reported to the State was accurate. Information reviewed included the TIF fund balance, tax increment received, other fund revenue, administrative expenditure, other expenditures, reinvestment zone base and current captured appraised values.

Based on our review, we identified discrepancies between the amounts reported and the Tax Assessor long sheet for one of the TIRZ projects – Midtown. A variance of \$63,851,253 existed between the reinvestment zone base value reported and the amount noted in the Tax Assessor long sheet. This was a result of a manual data entry error keyed into the legislative report resulting in an over statement of the base property value. Additionally, our reconciliation identified an understatement of the current captured appraised value in the amount of \$160,535,229. The TIF Unit was unable to provide the source document used to obtain the amount reported.

#### 3. Implementation of Controls over Collection and Disbursement Processes

#### Status: Partially Implemented

The TIF Unit implemented a standard review process to ensure the accurate collection of revenue from administrative fees and the disbursement of developer reimbursements.

We reviewed the total population of 18 administrative fee transfers made in FY 2015 by reviewing related support and approvals and found no exceptions.

For the total population of 40 developer reimbursements made in FY2015 we determined that the controls in place are working effectively to ensure that each

disbursement is reviewed and approved before payment is made, and accurately recorded in SAP.

An area within the revenue collection process that should be improved is ensuring that the appropriate amount of tax increment revenue is billed and collected from participating taxing entities (PTEs). A reconciliation process is not being conducted by the TIF Unit to ensure the accuracy of the amount billed to the PTE and that the correct amounts are recorded in SAP.

Consequently, we performed our own reconciliations for the total population of 20 active TIRZ projects by reviewing the Tax Assessor's long sheets, PTE invoices, and amounts reported in SAP. The following errors were identified:

- For 2 of 20 TIRZ, a total variance of \$475 existed between the amount billed and the Tax Assessor's long sheet. The TIF Unit confirmed that the PTEs were incorrectly billed and have communicated the error. The PTEs have agreed to pay the short billings in the next billing cycle.
- For 4 of 20 TIRZ, a total variance of \$17,676 existed between the amounts billed and the amounts recorded in SAP. The TIF Unit researched the variances and acknowledged that they were caused by clerical error, and auditors verified the correcting entries made.

#### **Communication between Departments**

During our interviews with the TIF Unit and Finance department staff we noted that a communication barrier exists between the departments. Due to the lack of communication we noted that there were some redundancies in work between the two departments. Specifically:

- Review of the developer reimbursement process is conducted by several different parties within the DPCD and Finance departments. It appears that both departments are reviewing the same information and currently the process requires 8 signatures before payment is approved.
- As stated previously, if the finance plans have not been approved by Finance then the data is not released to the TIF Unit. Consequently, in preparation for TIRZ Board meetings, the TIF Unit must go into SAP and gather the financial data that is already recorded in the draft finance plans.

#### Updated Recommendation

The Director of Planning and Community Development should:

• Perform a review of the annual legislative report before it is submitted to ensure that the financial data reported to the State is accurate and ties back

to supporting documentation. The review should be conducted and approved by someone other than the preparer of the report.

- Perform reconciliations of the tax increment amount billed to the amount found on the Tax Assessor's long sheets, and to the amount of revenue received and recorded in SAP. The reconciliation should be reviewed and approved by someone other than the preparer.
- Improve the communication gap between the TIF Unit and Finance Department by defining roles and responsibilities as well as sharing of information to assist in alleviating process redundancies. Additionally, work collaboratively to provide financial expertise, support of real-time data, and projections for all TIRZ projects so that the financial impact of each TIRZ project can be analyzed.

## Appendix A – Staff Acknowledgement

Sandra Paiz, CFE, Audit Manager Reina Sandoval, Auditor in Charge Christopher Moreno, CFE, Auditor

## Appendix B – Management Response

1		8.0	25 - 9	$\alpha_{(2),\alpha_{2},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{2}}$	
1		- <u>L</u>			
27	CITYOF	SA	NAI		
1				SAN ANTONIO	TEXAS 78283-39
1	10 AT			- aprillation fr	- 75 I I S (184 I I
ept	ember 26, 2016	1		2 A	
				1961 - 1968 - 31	
	W. Barthold, CPA, CIA, CISA		120 C D	1 E.V.	
	Auditor				
an	Antonio, Texas				
É:	Management's Acknowledgment and	Correctiv	ve Action Pla	n for the Follow	w-Up Audit of t
	Department of Planning and Commu	nity Dev	elopment's Ta	ax Increment R	einvestment Zo
	(TIRZ) Operations.				
	AN AL SALES A TRU		To Marcine and	gana inggan di	
1				56. alt 11	Service and
1	Fully Agree (provide detailed commen	nts)		e antied a same Ar Le agent Le Le	
	1. A.				
1	Agree Except For (provide detailed co	omments			1912
٩.	······································			isilas P d	
	1 A 11 A			<ol> <li>ARCONTECT 6 TO 2</li> </ol>	16.20 NG N
	Do Not Agree (provide detailed comm	nents)	1. a	1 - 24 Part - 1	
				18 S.1	
	Department of Planning and Community				
	wed the audit report and developed the rt recommendations.	Correcti	ve Action Plan	is below for the c	corresponding
γµυ	Trecommendations.				
	Rec	ommend	lation		
		Audit	Accept,	Responsible	Completion
#	Description	Report	Decline	Person's	Date
	TIE Dreaman I ooko Annyonyisto	Page		Name/Title	
	TIF Program Lacks Appropriate Administrative Controls	1			
	The Director of Planning and				
	Community Development should:				
		<ul> <li></li> </ul>		8	
	<ul> <li>Perform a review of the annual</li> </ul>				
1	legislative report before it is		Accept	Bridgett	February 2016
	submitted to ensure that the			White,	
		10			
	financial data reported to the State			Director,	
	financial data reported to the State is accurate and ties back to			Director, Planning and	
	financial data reported to the State is accurate and ties back to supporting documentation. The			Director, Planning and Community	
	financial data reported to the State is accurate and ties back to supporting documentation. The review should be conducted and			Director, Planning and	
	financial data reported to the State is accurate and ties back to supporting documentation. The			Director, Planning and Community	

Recommendation							
#	Description	Audit Report Page	Accept, Decline	Responsible Person's Name/Title	Completion Date		
	<ul> <li>Perform reconciliations of the tax</li> </ul>			Troy Elliott,	Semi-Annual		
	increment amount billed to the amount found on the Tax Assessor's long sheets, and to the amount of revenue received and recorded in SAP. The reconciliation should be reviewed and approved by someone other than the preparer.	6-7	Accept	Deputy Chief Financial Officer, Finance Department and Bridgett White, Director.	March and August		
				Planning and			
				Community			
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2		Development			
	<ul> <li>Improve the communication gap between the TIF Unit and Finance Department by defining roles and responsibilities as well as sharing of information to assist in</li> </ul>	6-7	Accept	Bridgett White, Director, Planning and Community	December 2016		
	alleviating process redundancies. Additionally, work collaboratively to provide financial expertise.			Development and Troy Elliott,			
	support of real-time data, and	2		Deputy Chief Financial			
	projections for all TIRZ projects so that the financial impact of each			Officer .			
	TIRZ project can be analyzed.	· · · · ·		Finance			
	nin project our be unuryzed.			Department			

#		Description	Recommer Audit Repor Page	t Accept,	Responsible Person's Name/Title	Completion Date
	Actio	n plan:	n ha Gri		- ALA	No.
Decline					wed by sture filings. orrect data t the State's Collector be reviewed by ontain a listing values and ta n, the Long insure that is reported on eived from the meone other ave met to ents. ination of is (CPPR) and Contract tinue to meet ies for	

We are committed to addressing the recommendations in the audit report and the plan of actions presented above.

Bridgett White

Date

Director Department of Planning and Community Development

Peter Zanoni

Deputy City Manager City Manager's Office

10-7-16 Date

4/27/16 Date

Troy Elliott Deputy Chief Financial Officer Finance Department

Ben Gorzell Chief Financial Officer City Manager's Office

10 0

Date