# HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION 

April 04, 2018

## HDRC CASE NO: <br> ADDRESS: <br> LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

## ZONING: <br> CITY COUNCIL DIST.: DISTRICT: <br> APPLICANT: <br> OWNER: <br> TYPE OF WORK: <br> APPLICATION RECEIVED: <br> 60-DAY REVIEW: <br> REQUEST:

2018-135
311 ROOSEVELT AVE
NCB A-20 LOT N IRR 452.46FT OF A-19 ROOSEVELT PARK NONADJACENT
C-2, H
5
Mission Historic District
Eloy Estrada
Stone Standard LLC
Perimeter Fence
March 09, 2018
May 08, 2018

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to install a perimeter fence of wrought iron

## APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements
2. Fences and Walls

## B. NEW FENCES AND WALLS

i. Design-New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure. ii. Location-Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. iii. Height-Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
iv. Prohibited materials—Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link fencing.
v. Appropriate materials-Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses-Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.

## FINDINGS:

a. The historic structure located at 311 Roosevelt was constructed in 1929 as the Roosevelt Library. The structure is located on an island bound by Lone Star Boulevard to the north, Roosevelt Avenue on both the east and west and W Whittier Street on the south. This structure is located within the Mission Historic District.
b. FENCING - The applicant has proposed to construct a six (6) foot tall, wrought iron fence around the perimeter of the property. The fence will feature a motorized gate to feature six (6) feet in height, $3 x 3$ inch steel posts located every eight feet and pedestrian oriented gates. Staff finds the proposed materials to be consistent with the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.
c. FENCING - The Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.i. notes that new fences should appear similar to those found historically in the district in terms of their transparency and character. Staff finds the proposed style of fence
appropriate and given its location along Roosevelt Avenue, the height appropriate.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval as submitted based on findings a through c.

## CASE MANAGER:

Edward Hall
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