

HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION

April 18, 2018

HDRC CASE NO: 2018-167
ADDRESS: 518 E LOCUST
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NCB 1741 BLK 11 LOT 5
ZONING: MF-33, H
CITY COUNCIL DIST.: 1
DISTRICT: Tobin Hill Historic District
APPLICANT: Darren Craddock
OWNER: Darren Craddock
TYPE OF WORK: Installation of powder-coated aluminum front yard fence (to mimic wrought iron)
APPLICATION RECEIVED: March 29, 2018
60-DAY REVIEW: May 28, 2018
REQUEST:

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to install aluminum powder coated front yard fence to mimic wrought iron, with a driveway gate.

APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

5. Guidelines for Site Elements

B. NEW FENCES AND WALLS

- i. *Design*—New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure.
- ii. *Location*—Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them.
- iii. *Height*—Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
- iv. *Prohibited materials*—Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link *fencing*.
- v. *Appropriate materials*—Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses—Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.

FINDINGS:

- a. The structure at 518 E Locust was constructed circa 1922 and features traditional architectural details and a foursquare plan. The structure is a two-story, multi-family structure with hipped roof and a covered patio.
- b. FENCE LOCATION - The applicant has proposed to install a wood picket fence to span the width of the property, including a gate spanning across the driveway. According to the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.ii, new front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that did not historically have them. While staff finds that a fence was not currently present on this property, fences are found on E Locust and within the Tobin Hill Historic District. Additionally, per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.C.i., privacy fences, including the proposed driveway gate, should be set back from the front façade to reduce their visual prominence. Staff finds that the fence should turn at the driveway to meet the corner of the structure, rather spanning across the driveway as proposed. Staff finds that the driveway gate, if included, should be set back behind the front façade plane of the structure.
- c. FENCE DESIGN - According to the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.i., the design of the fence should respond to the design and materials of the primary historic structure or structures of a similar style in the neighborhood in relation to scale, transparency, and character. Staff finds that the proposed powder-coated wrought iron fence is appropriate for this structure and on this block.

RECOMMENDATION:


Staff recommends approval based on finding b and c with the following stipulations:

- i. The fence should turn to meet at the corner of the structure as opposed to spanning across the driveway.
- ii. The driveway gate should be located behind the front façade plane of the structure or removed from the design.
- iii. That no portion of the fence exceed four feet in height.

CASE MANAGER: Huy Pham

CASE COMMENT:

The final construction height of an approved fence may not exceed the maximum height as approved by the HDRC at any portion of the fence. Additionally, all fences must be permitted and meet the development standards outlined in UDC Section 35-514.




Flex Viewer

Powered by ArcGIS Server

Printed: Apr 13, 2018

The City of San Antonio does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or usefulness of any information. The City does not warrant the completeness, timeliness, or positional, thematic, and attribute accuracy of the GIS data. The GIS data, cartographic products, and associated applications are not legal representations of the depicted data. Information shown on these maps is derived from public records that are constantly undergoing revision. Under no circumstances should GIS-derived products be used for final design purposes. The City provides this information on an "as is" basis without warranty of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, and assumes no responsibility for anyone's use of the information.

518 E Locust





518

ACURA

432-8444









