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## REQUEST:

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to build a solid wood fence around the perimeter of the property from 6 foot tall at the rear carport to 4 feet tall in front of the front façade plane.

## APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

5.Guidelines for Site Elements
2.Fences and Walls
B.NEW FENCES AND WALLS
i. Design-New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure.
ii. Location-Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them.
iii. Height—Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
iv. Prohibited materials-Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link fencing.
v. Appropriate materials-Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses-Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.

Unified Development Code - Sec. 35-514. - Fences.
Table of Heights
Maximum Permitted Fence Heights

| Permitted Use | Front Yard | Side Yard | Rear Yard |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family or Mixed Residential Use | 3'0" solid fence, 5'0" combined or <br> predominantly open fence <br> Except as provided by (b)(2) | $6^{\prime} 0 \prime$ | $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ |

## FINDINGS:

a. The primary structure at 430 Burleson was constructed by 2018 and is located at the corner of Burleson and N Hackberry in the Dignowity Hill Historic District. The single-family, two-story structure features a simple turned gable configuration, a centered covered porch, a side facing balcony, and an attached carport in the rear.
b. FENCE - The applicant has proposed to install metal-framed horizontal solid wood fence. The proposed fence is to begin at the existing wood privacy fence in the rear abutting at 1025 N Hackberry, reduce in height toward the front yard, and turn to meet the side fence abutting at 428 Burleson.
c. FENCE DESIGN - The applicant has proposed to install a metal-framed, horizontal solid wood fence. Per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.i, new fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character; the design of fences should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure. Staff finds that solid wood fences are found as rear privacy fences in the district. While solid wood fences are atypical in historic front yards, staff finds that the proposed design may be appropriate for non-historic infill properties if the height and configuration is consistent with the Guidelines.
d. FENCE HEIGHT - The applicant has proposed to install a fence with a height of 6 feet from the rear carport and stepping down to 4 feet before approaching the front yard to meet the existing side fence. Per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.iii, applicants should limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet; the appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. Additionally, per UDC - Sec. 35-514., solid fences have a permitted maximum of 3 feet in the front yard. Staff finds that the solid fence height should be reduced to 3 feet before approaching the front yard, instead of the proposed 4 feet. The applicant may also consider a cattle panel design, which would be allowed to maintain 4 feet in height.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the fence installation based on finding b through $d$ with the stipulation that the fence height is no taller than 6 feet in the rear and 3 feet past the front façade plane.

If the applicant wishes to maintain a height of 4 feet in the front yard, then the fence must feature a predominantly open design, such as a wood picket or cattle panel fence, per Unified Development Code - Sec. 35-514. An updated drawing or example photo must be submitted to staff prior to installation.
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## ALAMO FENCE COMPANY OF SAN ANTONIO, INC.

579 Weil Road, Cibolo, TX 78108
Phone: (210)566-6816 Fax: (210)659-2051
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