# HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION 

## December 18, 2019

```
HDRC CASE NO:
ADDRESS:
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
ZONING:
CITY COUNCIL DIST.:
DISTRICT:
APPLICANT:
OWNER:
TYPE OF WORK:
APPLICATION RECEIVED:
60-DAY REVIEW:
CASE MANAGER:
```


## REQUEST:

2019-666
507 N MONUMENTAL
NCB 1370 BLK 2 LOT 37 S 30 FT OF 36
C-3, H
2
Dignowity Hill Historic District
Steve Santos
Linda Maldonado
Side yard fence
November 01, 2019
December 31, 2019
Huy Pham

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to construct a 5 foot tall side yard fence, featuring wood posts topped with 2 foot tall corrugated metal panels.

## APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

5.Guidelines for Site Elements
2.Fences and Walls
B.NEW FENCES AND WALLS
i. Design-New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure.
ii. Location-Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them.
iii. Height-Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
iv. Prohibited materials—Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link fencing.
v. Appropriate materials-Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses-Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.

Unified Development Code - Sec. 35-514. - Fences.
Table of Heights
Maximum Permitted Fence Heights

| Permitted Use | Front Yard | Side Yard | Rear Yard |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family or Mixed Residential Use | 3'0" solid fence, 5'0" combined or <br> predominantly open fence <br> Except as provided by (b)(2) | $6^{\prime} 0 \prime$ | $6^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ |

## FINDINGS:

a. The primary historic structure at 507 N Monumental was constructed in the Folk Victorian style and is contributing to the Dignowity Hill Historic District. The property features a non-original chain-link fence in the front yard and abuts commercial properties to the south and east and an infill structure to the north.
b. COMPLIANCE - Upon submitting the application on November 1, 2019, the applicant disclosed that the fence had already been installed to expedite a privacy need.
c. FENCE - The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to construct a 5 foot tall side yard fence, featuring wood posts topped with 2 foot tall corrugated metal panels. The proposed fence spans 50 feet long between 507 and 509 N Monumental.
d. FENCE DESIGN - The applicant has proposed to construct a 5 foot tall, side yard fence, featuring wood posts topped with 2 foot tall corrugated metal panels. Per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.i, new fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character; the design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure. Staff finds that the fence design is a departure from any typical fence style in the district. A simple wood privacy fence would be eligible for administrative approval with standard stipulations for height.
e. FENCE HEIGHT - The applicant has proposed to construct a 5 foot tall side yard fence, featuring wood posts topped with 2 foot tall corrugated metal panels. Per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.iii, applicants should limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet; the appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. Staff finds that an open fence should be reduced to 4 foot tall and a solid fence reduced to 3 foot tall past front yard plane.
f. FENCE MATERIAL - The applicant has proposed to construct a 5 foot tall side yard fence, featuring wood posts topped with 2 foot tall corrugated metal panels. Per the Guidelines for Site Elements 2.B.v., applicants should construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district; applicants should select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. For screening incompatible uses, alternative fence heights and materials may be appropriate where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses. While the property is surrounded by commercial properties to the south and east and a nonhistoric infill property to the north, staff finds a simple wood privacy fence would address the privacy concern of the applicant while relating to existing historic patterns.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff does not recommend approval of the fence as proposed based on finding b through f. A simple wood privacy fence would be eligible for administrative approval with standard stipulations for height.

## CASE COMMENT:

COMPLIANCE - Upon submitting the application on November 1, 2019, the applicant disclosed that the fence had already been installed to expedite a privacy need.
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1901 S ALAMO, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78204
210-207-0035 | INFO@SAPRESERVATION.COM
OCT 282019

Detailed description of request - DO NOT LEAVE BLANK
Mark all that apply:
EXExterior Modifications/Alterations $\square$ New Construction $\square$ Addition $\square$ signage $\square$ site Elements $\square$ Demolition 1. 5FT $\times 2 F T \times 50 \mathrm{ft}$ Long- Not a privacu Fener (eyeview) 2.
3.
4.
5.

## PLOT PLAN <br> FOR BUILDING PERMITS

Address:
Lot: $\qquad$ Block: $\qquad$ NCB: $\qquad$


I certify that the above plot plan shows all improvements on this property and that there will be no construction over easements. I also certify that I will build in compliance with the UDC and the 2015 IRC.

Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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