# HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION

## January 15, 2020

2019-738
429 DEVINE ST
NCB 2957 BLK 1 LOT 8
R-5, H
1
Lavaca Historic District
Michael Long/Long House Builders
Richard and Susan Theis/THEIS RICHARD R & SUSAN E
Amendment to previously approved design
December 04, 2019
February 02, 2020
Edward Hall

#### **REQUEST:**

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to amend a previously approved design for new construction. Within this request, the applicant has proposed the following:

- 1. Removal of the attic vents at the top of the gable walls.
- 2. Modify the design of the three faux windows on the east façade from 4' wide by 5' tall to 2' 8'' wide by 5' tall.
- 3. Modify the previously approved cladding material from wood siding to a three coat stucco.

## **APPLICABLE CITATIONS:**

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 4, Guidelines for New Construction

#### 1. Building and Entrance Orientation

#### A. FAÇADE ORIENTATION

*i. Setbacks*—Align front facades of new buildings with front facades of adjacent buildings where a consistent setback has been established along the street frontage. Use the median setback of buildings along the street frontage where a variety of setbacks exist. Refer to UDC Article 3, Division 2. Base Zoning Districts for applicable setback requirements. *ii. Orientation*—Orient the front façade of new buildings to be consistent with the predominant orientation of historic buildings along the street frontage.

#### **B. ENTRANCES**

*i. Orientation*—Orient primary building entrances, porches, and landings to be consistent with those historically found along the street frontage. Typically, historic building entrances are oriented towards the primary street.

#### 2. Building Massing and Form

#### A. SCALE AND MASS

*i. Similar height and scale*—Design new construction so that its height and overall scale are consistent with nearby historic buildings. In residential districts, the height and scale of new construction should not exceed that of the majority of historic buildings by more than one-story. In commercial districts, building height shall conform to the established pattern. If there is no more than a 50% variation in the scale of buildings on the adjacent block faces, then the height of the new building shall not exceed the tallest building on the adjacent block face by more than 10%.

*ii. Transitions*—Utilize step-downs in building height, wall-plane offsets, and other variations in building massing to provide a visual transition when the height of new construction exceeds that of adjacent historic buildings by more than one-half story.

*iii. Foundation and floor heights*—Align foundation and floor-to-floor heights (including porches and balconies) within one foot of floor-to-floor heights on adjacent historic structures.

#### B. ROOF FORM

*i. Similar roof forms*—Incorporate roof forms—pitch, overhangs, and orientation—that are consistent with those predominantly found on the block. Roof forms on residential building types are typically sloped, while roof forms on nonresidential

building types are more typically flat and screened by an ornamental parapet wall.

*ii. Façade configuration*—The primary façade of new commercial buildings should be in keeping with established patterns. Maintaining horizontal elements within adjacent cap, middle, and base precedents will establish a consistent street wall through the alignment of horizontal parts. Avoid blank walls, particularly on elevations visible from the street. No new façade should exceed 40 linear feet without being penetrated by windows, entryways, or other defined bays.

#### D. LOT COVERAGE

*i. Building to lot ratio*—New construction should be consistent with adjacent historic buildings in terms of the building to lot ratio. Limit the building footprint for new construction to no more than 50 percent of the total lot area, unless adjacent historic buildings establish a precedent with a greater building to lot ratio.

#### 3. Materials and Textures

#### A. NEW MATERIALS

i. *Complementary materials*—Use materials that complement the type, color, and texture of materials traditionally found in the district. Materials should not be so dissimilar as to distract from the historic interpretation of the district. For example, corrugated metal siding would not be appropriate for a new structure in a district comprised of homes with wood siding.

*ii. Alternative use of traditional materials*—Consider using traditional materials, such as wood siding, in a new way to provide visual interest in new construction while still ensuring compatibility.

*iii. Roof materials*—Select roof materials that are similar in terms of form, color, and texture to traditionally used in the district.

*iv. Metal roofs*—Construct new metal roofs in a similar fashion as historic metal roofs. Refer to the Guidelines for Alterations and Maintenance section for additional specifications regarding metal roofs.

*v. Imitation or synthetic materials*—Do not use vinyl siding, plastic, or corrugated metal sheeting. Contemporary materials not traditionally used in the district, such as brick or simulated stone veneer and Hardie Board or other fiberboard siding, may be appropriate for new construction in some locations as long as new materials are visually similar to the traditional material in dimension, finish, and texture. EIFS is not recommended as a substitute for actual stucco.

#### 4. Architectural Details

#### A. GENERAL

*i. Historic context*—Design new buildings to reflect their time while respecting the historic context. While new construction should not attempt to mirror or replicate historic features, new structures should not be so dissimilar as to distract from or diminish the historic interpretation of the district.

*ii. Architectural details*—Incorporate architectural details that are in keeping with the predominant architectural style along the block face or within the district when one exists. Details should be simple in design and should complement, but not visually compete with, the character of the adjacent historic structures or other historic structures within the district. Architectural details that are more ornate or elaborate than those found within the district are inappropriate.

*iii. Contemporary interpretations*—Consider integrating contemporary interpretations of traditional designs and details for new construction. Use of contemporary window moldings and door surroundings, for example, can provide visual interest while helping to convey the fact that the structure is new. Modern materials should be implemented in a way that does not distract from the historic structure.

#### 5. Garages and Outbuildings

#### A. DESIGN AND CHARACTER

v. Garage doors—Incorporate garage doors with similar proportions and materials as those traditionally found in the district.

#### 6. Mechanical Equipment and Roof Appurtenances

#### A. LOCATION AND SITING

*i. Visibility*—Do not locate utility boxes, air conditioners, rooftop mechanical equipment, skylights, satellite dishes, and other roof appurtenances on primary facades, front-facing roof slopes, in front yards, or in other locations that are clearly visible from the public right-of-way.

*ii. Service Areas*—Locate service areas towards the rear of the site to minimize visibility from the public right-of-way.

#### **B. SCREENING**

*i. Building-mounted equipment*—Paint devices mounted on secondary facades and other exposed hardware, frames, and piping to match the color scheme of the primary structure or screen them with landscaping.

*ii. Freestanding equipment*—Screen service areas, air conditioning units, and other mechanical equipment from public view using a fence, hedge, or other enclosure.

*iii. Roof-mounted equipment*—Screen and set back devices mounted on the roof to avoid view from public right-of-way. Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements

#### **B. NEW FENCES AND WALLS**

*i. Design*—New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure. *ii. Location*—Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. *iii. Height*—Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.

*iv. Prohibited materials*—Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link fencing.

*v. Appropriate materials*—Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses—Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.

#### 3. Landscape Design

#### A. PLANTINGS

*i. Historic Gardens*— Maintain front yard gardens when appropriate within a specific historic district.

*ii. Historic Lawns*—Do not fully remove and replace traditional lawn areas with impervious hardscape. Limit the removal of lawn areas to mulched planting beds or pervious hardscapes in locations where they would historically be found, such as along fences, walkways, or drives. Low-growing plantings should be used in historic lawn areas; invasive or large-scale species should be avoided. Historic lawn areas should never be reduced by more than 50%.

*iii. Native xeric plant materials*—Select native and/or xeric plants that thrive in local conditions and reduce watering usage. See UDC Appendix E: San Antonio Recommended Plant List—All Suited to Xeriscape Planting Methods, for a list of appropriate materials and planting methods. Select plant materials with a similar character, growth habit, and light requirements as those being replaced.

*iv. Plant palettes*—If a varied plant palette is used, incorporate species of taller heights, such informal elements should be restrained to small areas of the front yard or to the rear or side yard so as not to obstruct views of or otherwise distract from the historic structure.

*v. Maintenance*—Maintain existing landscape features. Do not introduce landscape elements that will obscure the historic structure or are located as to retain moisture on walls or foundations (e.g., dense foundation plantings or vines) or as to cause damage.

#### B. ROCKS OR HARDSCAPE

*i. Impervious surfaces* —Do not introduce large pavers, asphalt, or other impervious surfaces where they were not historically located.

ii. Pervious and semi-pervious surfaces-New pervious hardscapes should be limited to areas that are not highly visible,

and should not be used as wholesale replacement for plantings. If used, small plantings should be incorporated into the design.

*iii. Rock mulch and gravel* - Do not use rock mulch or gravel as a wholesale replacement for lawn area. If used, plantings should be incorporated into the design.

### D. TREES

*i. Preservation*—Preserve and protect from damage existing mature trees and heritage trees. See UDC Section 35-523 (Tree Preservation) for specific requirements.

*ii. New Trees* – Select new trees based on site conditions. Avoid planting new trees in locations that could potentially cause damage to a historic structure or other historic elements. Species selection and planting procedure should be done in accordance with guidance from the City Arborist.

5. Sidewalks, Walkways, Driveways, and Curbing

#### A. SIDEWALKS AND WALKWAYS

*i. Maintenance*—Repair minor cracking, settling, or jamming along sidewalks to prevent uneven surfaces. Retain and repair historic sidewalk and walkway paving materials—often brick or concrete—in place.

*ii. Replacement materials*—Replace those portions of sidewalks or walkways that are deteriorated beyond repair. Every effort should be made to match existing sidewalk color and material.

*iii. Width and alignment*—Follow the historic alignment, configuration, and width of sidewalks and walkways. Alter the historic width or alignment only where absolutely necessary to accommodate the preservation of a significant tree.

*iv. Stamped concrete*—Preserve stamped street names, business insignias, or other historic elements of sidewalks and walkways when replacement is necessary.

*v. ADA compliance*—Limit removal of historic sidewalk materials to the immediate intersection when ramps are added to address ADA requirements.

#### **B. DRIVEWAYS**

*i. Driveway configuration*—Retain and repair in place historic driveway configurations, such as ribbon drives. Incorporate a similar driveway configuration—materials, width, and design—to that historically found on the site. Historic driveways are typically no wider than 10 feet. Pervious paving surfaces may be considered where replacement is necessary to increase stormwater infiltration.

*ii. Curb cuts and ramps*—Maintain the width and configuration of original curb cuts when replacing historic driveways. Avoid introducing new curb cuts where not historically found.

#### 7. Off-Street Parking

#### A. LOCATION

*i. Preferred location*—Place parking areas for non-residential and mixed-use structures at the rear of the site, behind primary structures to hide them from the public right-of-way. On corner lots, place parking areas behind the primary structure and set them back as far as possible from the side streets. Parking areas to the side of the primary structure are acceptable when location behind the structure is not feasible. See UDC Section 35-310 for district-specific standards. *ii. Front*—Do not add off-street parking areas within the front yard setback as to not disrupt the continuity of the streetscape.

*iii. Access*—Design off-street parking areas to be accessed from alleys or secondary streets rather than from principal streets whenever possible.

#### **B. DESIGN**

*i. Screening*—Screen off-street parking areas with a landscape buffer, wall, or ornamental fence two to four feet high—or a combination of these methods. Landscape buffers are preferred due to their ability to absorb carbon dioxide. See UDC Section 35-510 for buffer requirements.

*ii. Materials*—Use permeable parking surfaces when possible to reduce run-off and flooding. See UDC Section 35-526(j) for specific standards.

*iii. Parking structures*—Design new parking structures to be similar in scale, materials, and rhythm of the surrounding historic district when new parking structures are necessary.

## **FINDINGS:**

- a. The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to amend a previously approved design for new construction located at 429 Devine, within the Lavaca Historic District. The previous design received a Certificate of Appropriateness on April 18, 2018. There were no stipulations of the approval.
- b. DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE This request was reviewed by the Design Review Committee on December 11, 2019, where the committee noted that the proposed modifications were appropriate; however, there was a concern regarding stucco control joints, and that examples should be presented to the Commission.
- c. REMOVAL OF ATTIC VENTS The applicant has proposed to remove the attic vents at the top of the gable walls. Generally, staff finds this to be appropriate.
- d. WINDOW OPENING MODIFICATION The applicant has proposed to modify the design of the three faux windows on the east façade from 4' wide by 5' tall to 2' 8" wide by 5' tall. These windows, as previously approved, featured contemporary profiles and functions, as they open into interior courtyards. Generally, staff finds the modification to the proposed size to be appropriate; however, staff finds that an updated detail should be submitted to staff for review that notes window framing and finish work. All other windows will remain unmodified, and are to follow the previously approved specifications.
- e. CLADDING MODIFICATION The applicant has proposed to replace the previously approved wood siding with a three coat stucco application. While wood siding is the predominant historic material used throughout the district, there are examples of stucco and plaster throughout. Staff finds that plaster may be appropriate; however, staff finds that both control joints and textures should be submitted to staff for review and approval.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of items #1 through #3 based on findings a through e with the following stipulations:

- i. That an updated detail be submitted to staff for review that notes window framing and finish work.
- ii. That both control joints and textures for the proposed stucco should be submitted to staff for review and approval.



N

Flex Viewer

Powered by ArcGIS Server

Printed:Dec 13, 2017

The City of San Antonio does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or usefulness of any information. The City does not warrant the completeness, timeliness, or positional, thematic, and attribute accuracy of the GIS data. The GIS data, cartographic products, and associated applications are not legal representations of the depicted data. Information shown on these maps is derived from public records that are constantly undergoing revision. Under no circumstances should GIS-derived products be used for final design purposes. The City provides this information on an "as is" basis without warranty of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, and assumes no responsibility for anyone's use of the information.



OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION Historic and Design Review Commission Design Review Committee Report & Recommendation

DATE: AECEMBER 11, JOI9	HDRC Case#
ADDRESS: 419 AEVINE	Meeting Location: 1901 5 ALAMO
APPLICANT: MIKE LONG / LONG HOUSE	BUILLAEDS
DRC Members present: JEFF FEIZER	
Staff present: ENVIRED HALL	
Others present: NONE	
REQUEST: MENAMENTS TO THE FREI	HOUSLY APPROVED DESIGN [SIAING TO
STUCCO/PLASTER], WINDOWS	AND ATTIC VENTS,
COMMENTS/CONCERNS: M ML ON	EDVIEW OF PEOPOSED AMENDMENTS
TO THE POENOUSLY APPROVED DESIGN	, J.P. HAS HARDLE BEEN CONSIDERED.
MLI WOULD LIKE TO AVOID MENEYAA	EDI; BUILL USE A 3 COAT INTEGRAL
COLOR, ML: WILL NEED TO DEVELO	P AN APPROPRIATE CONTROL/EXPANSION
JOINT DETAIL, JF: QUESTIONS DEGA	PLING ANAL DOOFING MATERIALS -ML
STANDING SEAM METAL, JF+ML! AI	SUBSION DEGADAING THE LOCATION
OF CONTEOL JOINTS, JE! CONCEENS	DEGAEDING HOW MANY CONTROL
JOINTS MAY BE NEEDEN ON BOTH WHEN COMING TO THE COMMISSION & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE WITH COMMENTS/STIPULA	FRCALES, JF: PEOVILLE EXAMPLES EGAPAING STUCCO FINISH / CONTROL JOINTS, APPROVE [] DISAPPROVE [] TIONS:

# NO QUOPUM

JF: NO ISSUE WITH A CHANGE TO STULCO, SHOW WHEPE CONTROL JOINTS WILL BE LOCATED.



**SITE** SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0"

# NOTES









ROOF PLAN (1) KOUF PLAN SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-00"







BARN DOOR HARDWARE WELDED ONTO ANGLE BAR

CUSTOM WELD TO FIT ROLLER HARDWARE, RAIL FOR MOUNTING ONTO FACADE

CYPRESS WOOD FRAME AND SLATS MOUNTED INSIDE METAL FRAME WITH  $\frac{3}{16}$ " GAP

CUSTOM WELD TO FIT ROLLER HARDWARE, RAIL FOR MOUNTING ONTO FACADE

English Vendrell Archite cts Т 7 7 3 . 4 5 0 . 3 2 6 6

Emkemkenglish@gmail.com PROJECT # SA01

NOTES:

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS, WRITTEN DIMENSIONS GOVERN.

Issued for Historical Preservation Issued for Bid Issued for Building Permit Issued for Construction

2018/02/26 2019/09/15 2019/00/00 2019/00/00

THEIS FAMILY RESIDENCE

429 DEVINE ST. SAN ANTONIO, TX.

CONSTRUCTION SET

DATE:

September 15, 2019 DRAWING TITLE

ROOF PLAN







1 LONGITUDINAL SECTION THROUGH COURTYARDS FACING WEST SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"



	English Vendrell Archite cts
9	773.450.3266 m kem kenglish @gmail.com
RIDGE 16'-9 ½"	PROJECT # SA01
RIDGE 15'-6"	
COURTYARD WALL 8'-0"	
	NOTES: 1. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS,
	WRITTEN DIMENSIONS GOVERN.
	Issued for Historical Preservation2018/02/26Issued for Bid2019/09/15Issued for Building Permit2019/00/00Issued for Construction2019/00/00
	THEIS FAMILY RESIDENCE
	429 DEVINE ST.
	SAN ANTONIO, TX.
	CONSTRUCTION SET
	DATE: Sentember 15, 2010
	DRAWING TITLE
	LONG SECTION
	SHEET



![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"

Ŀ.			** ALL GLAZING IN DOORS TO BE TEMPERED. *** ALL DOOR SIZES TO BE VERIFIED IN FIELD.							
۲ [	NO.	SIZE (WxHxT)	QTY.	TYPE	MAN'F/STYLE	FRAME	MATERIAL	HDWR SET	DOOR TRIM	REMARKS
	001	3'-0" X 8'-0" X 2-1/4"	1	_	ENTRY DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	002	3'-0" X 8'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	PIVOT DOOR PANEL	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	003	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	-	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	004	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	005	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	-	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	006	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	-	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	007	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	—	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	800	3'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	—	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	009	3'-0" X 8'-0" X 2-1/4"	1	—	BACK ENTRY DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	010	3'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	011	3'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	GLASS DOOR + SWING	WD/PT	ALU/GLASS	HW-	WD/PT	
-	012	2'-6" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	INT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
-										
-	017	4'-6" X 6'-0" X 2"	1	_	GATE	_	_	HW-	_	
	018	4'-6" X 6'-0" X 2"	1	_	GATE	-PT	-	HW-	-	
	019	3'-6" X 7'-0" X 2"	1	_	ENTRY PIVOT DOOR	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	020	15'-0" X 7'-0" X 2-1/4"	1	_	EXT SLIDING DOOR SYSTEM	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	021	5'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	CLOSET DOORS	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
	022	5'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	CLOSET DOORS	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
+	023	5'-0" X 7'-0" X 1-3/8"	1	_	CLOSET DOORS	WD/PT	SOLID WD/PT	HW-	WD/PT	
-										

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

# FLOOR PLAN WITH WINDOW/DOOR TAGS

0.	SIZE (W × H)	TYPE	QTY.	MAT.	FIN.	MAN'F	MAN'F #	REMARKS
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		OBSCURED GLASS FOR ARCHITECTS APPROVAL
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
0	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
1	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		OBSCURED GLASS FOR ARCHITECTS APPROVAL
2	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		
3	(2)2'-4" × 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		DOUBLE SINGLE HUNG

WINDOW SCHEDULE									
NO.	SIZE (W x H)	TYPE	QTY.	MAT.	FIN.	MAN'F	MAN'F #	REMARKS	
1	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
2	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
3	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
4	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
5	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
6	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		OBSCURED GLASS FOR ARCHITECTS APPROVAL	
7	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
8	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
9	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
10	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
11	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		OBSCURED GLASS FOR ARCHITECTS APPROVAL	
12	2'-8" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD			
13	(2)2'-4" × 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		DOUBLE SINGLE HUNG	
13	(2)2'-4" x 4'-11"	SINGLE HUNG	1	ALU	ALU	MILGARD		DOUBLE SINGLE HUNG	

= CHANGES REQUIRED TO EXISTING M.O. <u>GENERAL NOTES:</u>

English Vendrell Archite cts

mkemkenglish@gmail.com

773.450.3266

PROJECT # SA01

NOTES:

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS, WRITTEN DIMENSIONS GOVERN.

Issued for Historical Preservation Issued for Bid Issued for Building Permit Issued for Construction 2018/02/26 2019/09/15 2019/00/00 2019/00/00

THEIS FAMILY RESIDENCE

429 DEVINE ST. SAN ANTONIO, TX.

CONSTRUCTION SET

DATE:

September 15, 2019

DRAWING TITLE DOOR, WINDOW SCHEDULES

![](_page_14_Picture_61.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_62.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DESIGN**

# General

- 1. Shou sugi ban brushed matte char tongue and groove.
- 2. Milgard Aluminum Horizontal Slidin anodized finish.
- 3. Exterior Window Shade: black pow stained cypress louvers
- 4. Solid Wood Door
- 5. Standing seam metal roof with 21" panels, seam 1" height, a crimped galvalume finish.

# Porch construction

- 21. 2x8 beam
- 22. 2x6 rafters
- 23. ¾" decking at porch ceiling, stained
- 24. 6x6 wood columns, stained charce
- 25. Brushed finish concrete porch floo

![](_page_15_Figure_14.jpeg)

coal gray 1x4 wood siding, ng window with bronze /der coated steel frame with	English Vendrell Architects T773.450.3266 Emkemkenglish@gmail.com PROJECT # SA01
' wide ridge seam and a standard	REVISED: April 1, 2018
ed charcoal gray coal gray or	
	PROPOSED THEIS FAMILY RESIDENCE
	SUSAN & RICHARD THEIS WITH FAMILY
	429 DEVINE ST. SAN ANTONIO, TX. DATE: April 1, 2018
	DRAWING TITLE ELEVATIONS
	SHEET 9

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 1. Shou sugi ban brushed matte charcoal gray 1x4 wood siding, tongue and groove.
- 2. Exterior Window Shade: black powder coated steel frame with stained cypress louvers
- 3. Standing seam metal roof with 21" wide panels, seam 1" height, a crimped ridge seam and a standard galvalume finish.

English Vendrell Architects т 773.450.3266 Emkemkenglish@gmail.com PROJECT # SA01 REVISED: April 1, 2018 PROPOSED THEIS FAMILY RESIDENCE SUSAN & RICHARD THEIS WITH FAMILY 429 DEVINE ST. EAST ELEVATION SAN ANTONIO, TX. 1 SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0" DATE: April 1, 2018 DRAWING TITLE ELEVATIONS SHEET 9B