# HISTORIC AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION 

May 20, 2020

HDRC CASE NO:
ADDRESS:
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
ZONING:
CITY COUNCIL DIST.:
DISTRICT:
APPLICANT:
OWNER:
TYPE OF WORK:
APPLICATION RECEIVED:
60-DAY REVIEW:
CASE MANAGER:

2020-170
534 MISSION ST
NCB 2878 BLK 3 LOT 18
RM-4
1
King William Historic District
Joshua Thomas/THOMAS JOSHUA \& JERNIGAN MEGAN H
Joshua Thomas/THOMAS JOSHUA \& JERNIGAN MEGAN H
Front yard fence installation
April 08, 2020
Not applicable due to City Council Emergency Orders
Rachel Rettaliata

## REQUEST:

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for approval to install a 3-foot-tall front yard perimeter fence.

## APPLICABLE CITATIONS:

Historic Design Guidelines, Chapter 5, Guidelines for Site Elements

1. Topography
A. TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES
i. Historic topography—Avoid significantly altering the topography of a property (i.e., extensive grading). Do not alter character-defining features such as berms or sloped front lawns that help define the character of the public right-of-way. Maintain the established lawn to help prevent erosion. If turf is replaced over time, new plant materials in these areas should be low-growing and suitable for the prevention of erosion.
ii. New construction-Match the historic topography of adjacent lots prevalent along the block face for new construction. Do not excavate raised lots to accommodate additional building height or an additional story for new construction.
iii. New elements-Minimize changes in topography resulting from new elements, like driveways and walkways, through appropriate siting and design. New site elements should work with, rather than change, character-defining topography when possible.

## 2. Fences and Walls

A. HISTORIC FENCES AND WALLS
i. Preserve-Retain historic fences and walls.
ii. Repair and replacement-Replace only deteriorated sections that are beyond repair. Match replacement materials (including mortar) to the color, texture, size, profile, and finish of the original.
iii. Application of paint and cementitious coatings-Do not paint historic masonry walls or cover them with stone facing or stucco or other cementitious coatings.
B. NEW FENCES AND WALLS
i. Design-New fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of their scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure.
ii. Location-Avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. The appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences or wall should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them.
iii. Height-Limit the height of new fences and walls within the front yard to a maximum of four feet. The appropriateness of a front yard fence is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. If a taller fence or wall existed
historically, additional height may be considered. The height of a new retaining wall should not exceed the height of the slope it retains.
iv. Prohibited materials-Do not use exposed concrete masonry units (CMU), Keystone or similar interlocking retaining wall systems, concrete block, vinyl fencing, or chain link fencing.
v. Appropriate materials-Construct new fences or walls of materials similar to fence materials historically used in the district. Select materials that are similar in scale, texture, color, and form as those historically used in the district, and that are compatible with the main structure. Screening incompatible uses-Review alternative fence heights and materials for appropriateness where residential properties are adjacent to commercial or other potentially incompatible uses.
C. PRIVACY FENCES AND WALLS
i. Relationship to front facade-Set privacy fences back from the front façade of the building, rather than aligning them with the front façade of the structure to reduce their visual prominence.
ii. Location - Do not use privacy fences in front yards.

## FINDINGS:

a. The primary structure located at 534 Mission was constructed circa 1925 in the Craftsman style and first appears on the Sanborn maps in 1951. It is a 1 -story, single-family residence featuring a rectangular plan, a composition shingle side gable roof, wood and stucco cladding, wood windows, and prominent front porch columns. The property is contributing to the King William Historic District.
b. FRONT YARD FENCE INSTALLATION - The applicant has proposed to install a 3-foot-high wood picket front yard fence painted to match the primary structure, featuring a pedestrian gate. Guideline 2.B.ii for Site Elements stipulates that applicants should avoid installing a fence or wall in a location where one did not historically exist, particularly within the front yard. Guideline 2.B.ii for Site Elements additionally states that the appropriateness of a front yard fence or wall is dependent on conditions within a specific historic district. New front yard fences should not be introduced within historic districts that have not historically had them. Properties within the King William Historic District often feature front yard fences. The applicant has provided examples of front yard fences at nearby properties on Mission and Guenther Streets. Due to the precedent of front yard fences on the street and within the King William Historic District and due to the reversibility of fence installation, staff finds the proposal generally appropriate.
c. FRONT YARD FENCE DESIGN - The applicant has proposed to install a wood picket front yard fence similar to the existing wood picket front yard fences at 401 Mission and 222 E Guenther. Guideline 2.B.i for Site Elements states that new fences and walls should appear similar to those used historically within the district in terms of scale, transparency, and character. Design of fence should respond to the design and materials of the house or main structure. Wood picket fencing is the most appropriate style for a Craftsman style home and exist in the King William Historic District. Staff finds the proposal appropriate.
d. FRONT YARD FENCE HEIGHT - The applicant has proposed to install a 3-foot-high front yard fence. Guideline 2.B.iii for Site Elements states that the height of new fences and walls within the front yard should be limited to four feet. Staff finds the proposal consistent with the Guidelines.
e. FRONT YARD FENCE CONFIGURATION - The applicant has proposed to install the front yard fence that will begin where the existing privacy fence line terminates. On the west side of the property, the existing rear privacy fence terminates behind the front façade plane. On the east side of the property, the rear privacy fence terminates at the front façade plan. Guideline 2.C.i for Site Elements stipulates that privacy fences should be set back from the front façade of the building, rather than aligning with the front façade of the structure to reduce their visual prominence. As the rear privacy fence is existing, staff finds the configuration of the proposed front yard fence to be appropriate.
f. PEDESTRIAN GATE INSTALLATION - The applicant has proposed to install a pedestrian gate across the front yard walkway. Pedestrian gates should be located at the intersection of the property's walkway and the public sidewalk. Pedestrian gates should relate to the design of the fence while maintaining the 4 -foot height limit. Staff finds the proposal appropriate.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of proposed front yard fence installation based on findings a through $m$ with the following stipulation:
i. That the applicant submits final material specifications to staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness.
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The above photos represent the style of wood fence we would like to install. We will paint to match the trim of our existing home pictured below.


534 Mission Street

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
1901 S. ALAMO ST. SAN ANTONIO. TEXAS 78204 210-207-1111 - www.sanantonio gov/dsd

## Residential Fence Permit Application <br> (For Commercial - See the Commercial Fence Permit Application)

** Fence repairs equal to $25 \%$ or less of the length of the existing fence do not require a fence permit ${ }^{* *}$ Residential retaining walls - complete Commercial Building Application and see Information Bulletin 171
Date: $1 / 7 / 2026$
 Fence Height: Front: 3 foot Rear. $\qquad$
Fence Material Type: Refer to IB223 - Commercial/Residential Fences for detailed information on materials and installation requirements

| $\square$ Wood | $\square$ Chain Link | $\square$ Wrought Iron | $\square$ Pre-Cast Concrete Panels |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Brick | $\square$ Masonry | $\square$ Stone |  |

## Detailed site plan required:

Must show lot size, location of existing structures, locations of easements, and proposed fence location with height and material of each new/repaired fence section. See provided example for assistance.

The following list will help ensure proper permitting and installation verifications by the appropriate City reviewers.

| Corner lot: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Historical District: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| Flood Zone: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| Vacant lot: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| Overlay or Conservation District: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

Residential Fence Fee: $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 . 5 0}$
(includes 3\% technology fee \& 3\% Development Services fee)
> ** NOTE: If you checked, "Yes" to any of the above, the appropriate City division will need to review your fence permit application prior to permit issuance. This may result in additional fees for review **


Contractor/Homeowner: Joshua Thomas
Registered Lic. Holder:
Authorized Agent Name: $\qquad$
Escrow:
Phone \#:

Contractor ID: City Registration \#: SOSA ID \#:
Other COSA ID \#:
Email:

By signing the application as the home owner or contractor, I certify that I have read and understand the requirements for fence installations. I further certify that the information I have provided is true and correct and hereby agree that if the permit is issued all provisions of the City Ordinance will be complied with whether herein specified or not and that compliance with the Unified Building Code is required. I understand that the permit belongs to the property owner and I am an authorized agent. "The City of San Antonio only enforces City, State and Federal zoning and development ordinances and statutes and does not enforce covenants and deed restrictions (CC\&Rs). It is imperative and incumbent upon you the applicant to verify the existence of any CC\&Rs that maybe applicable your project. See Information Bulletin 234 for additional information."

ApplicantSignature:


