



City of San Antonio

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 17-4409

Type: Staff Briefing - Without Ordinance

In control: Governance Committee

On agenda: 8/2/2017

Title: A Council Consideration Request by Councilmember Rey Saldaña, District 4, on the review of the current scoring matrix and the creation of a new scoring category to consider the compensation package offered to employees of respondents to various discretionary solicitations. [Ben Gorzell, Chief Financial Officer; Troy Elliott, Deputy Chief Financial Officer]

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. CCR Saldana - Review and Updates to RFP Scoring Matrix

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

DEPARTMENT: Finance

DEPARTMENT HEAD: Troy Elliott

COUNCIL DISTRICTS IMPACTED: Citywide

SUBJECT:

Review and Updates to Request for Proposals Scoring Matrix

SUMMARY:

Discussion will focus on the addition of a new scoring category to consider the compensation package offered to employees of respondents to various discretionary solicitations issued by the City of San Antonio. This discussion will include applicability to various types of contracts issued by the City as well as potential policy, economic and legal considerations.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Councilmember Rey Saldana issued a Council Consideration Request (CCR) asking for a full council review of the current scoring matrix used in discretionary solicitations as well as the creation of a new scoring category to be included in all contracts, leases, and P3 partnerships where city land or tax dollars are involved. The

proposed new scoring category would add weighted points to discretionary evaluation process for consideration of the compensation package that a respondent to a City-issued solicitation would offer its employees if awarded the contract.

ISSUE:

The City of San Antonio has implemented policies to provide preference points when evaluating discretionary contracts to encourage the use of small, minority and women-owned businesses as well as local and veteran-owned businesses. Implementation of an additional preference program would need to complement existing City policies and programs and be compliant with governing statutes while maintaining a fair and equitable evaluation process.

ALTERNATIVES:

The Governance Committee could recommend continuing with the City's current evaluation process.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact is unknown at this time since the parameters of the application have not been fully identified. Additional staff resources may be required to ensure contractor compliance with proposed compensation package if awarded the contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends moving forward with additional research and present an in depth briefing by October 2017 to the Audit and Accountability Committee, prior to forwarding to the full City Council for consideration.