



City of San Antonio

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 19-2504

Type: Zoning Case

In control: City Council A Session

On agenda: 3/21/2019

Title: ZONING CASE # Z-2019-10700007 (Council District 1): Ordinance amending the Zoning District Boundary from "R-6 NCD-5 AHOD" Residential Single-Family Beacon Hill Neighborhood Conservation Airport Hazard Overlay District to "IDZ-1 NCD-5 AHOD" Limited Intensity Infill Development Zone Beacon Hill Neighborhood Conservation Airport Hazard Overlay District for six (6) residential dwelling units on 0.310 acres out of NCB 6523, located at 1024 Blanco Road. Staff and Zoning Commission recommend Approval.

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Location Map, 2. Site Plan, 3. Zoning Minutes, 4. Draft Ordinance, 5. Metes and Bounds, 6. Ordinance 2019-03-21-0249

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
3/21/2019	1	City Council A Session		

DEPARTMENT: Development Services

DEPARTMENT HEAD: Michael Shannon

COUNCIL DISTRICTS IMPACTED: 1

SUBJECT:

Zoning Case Z-2019-10700007

SUMMARY:

Current Zoning: "R-6 NCD-5 AHOD" Residential Single-Family Beacon Hill Neighborhood Conservation Airport Hazard Overlay District

Requested Zoning: "IDZ-1 NCD-5 AHOD" Limited Intensity Infill Development Zone Beacon Hill Neighborhood Conservation Airport Hazard Overlay District for six (6) residential dwelling units

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Zoning Commission Hearing Date: February 19, 2019

Case Manager: Dominic Silva, Planner

Property Owner: Los Hermanos Investments, L.L.C.

Applicant: Los Hermanos Investments, L.L.C.

Representative: Ralph Hernandez

Location: 1024 Blanco Road

Legal Description: 0.310 acres out of NCB 6523

Total Acreage: 0.310

Notices Mailed

Owners of Property within 200 feet: 25

Registered Neighborhood Associations within 200 feet: Beacon Hill Neighborhood Association

Applicable Agencies: None.

Property Details

Property History: The property was part of the original 36 square miles and zoned R-1 with the adoption of Ordinance 86704, dated September 25, 1997. The property converted from “R-1” Residential District to “R-6” Residential Single-Family District with the adoption of the 2001 Unified Development Code (Ordinance 93881, dated May 3, 2001).

Topography: The subject property is located within the 100-year flood plain.

Adjacent Base Zoning and Land Uses

Direction: North

Current Base Zoning: “R-6”

Current Land Uses: Residential

Direction: East

Current Base Zoning: “R-6”

Current Land Uses: Residential

Direction: South

Current Base Zoning: “MF-33”

Current Land Uses: Residential

Direction: West

Current Base Zoning: “MF-33”

Current Land Uses: Apartments

Overlay and Special District Information:

"AHOD"

All surrounding properties carry the "AHOD" Airport Hazard Overlay District, due to their proximity to an airport or approach path. The "AHOD" does not restrict permitted uses, but can require additional review of construction plans by both the Development Services Department and the Federal Aviation Administration.

“NCD-5”

The Beacon Hill Neighborhood Conservation District (NCD-5) is an overlay zoning district that contains design guidelines for rehabilitation of existing residential and commercial development. Property owners, together with the Department of Planning and Community Development staff, develop the design guidelines. These guidelines can address building materials, height, size, massing, signage, sidewalk location, etc. A

zoning review is performed by the Zoning Section of the Development Services Department.

Transportation

Thoroughfare: Blanco Road

Existing Character: Minor

Proposed Changes: None Known

Public Transit: VIA bus routes are within walking distance of the subject property.

Routes Served: 2, 202

Thoroughfare: West Huisache Avenue

Existing Character: Local

Proposed Changes: None Known

Public Transit: VIA bus routes are within walking distance of the subject property.

Routes Served: 2, 202

Traffic Impact: A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) is not required.

Parking Information: Parking requirements are waived.

ISSUE:

None.

ALTERNATIVES:

Denial of the requested zoning change would result in the subject properties retaining the present base zoning district designation of “R-6,” which allows single-family dwellings (detached) with a minimum lot size of 6,000 square feet and a minimum lot width of 50 feet, as well as foster family home, and public/private schools.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

Proximity to Regional Center/Premium Transit Corridor

The subject property is within ½ a mile of the Midtown Regional Center.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff Analysis and Recommendation: Staff and Zoning Commission (9-0) recommend Approval.

Criteria for Review: According to Section 35-421, zoning amendments shall be based on the approval criteria below.

1. Consistency:

The property is located within the Midtown Neighborhoods Plan, and is currently designated as “Medium Density Residential” in the future land use component of the plan. The requested “R-6” base zoning district is consistent with the future land use designation.

2. Adverse Impacts on Neighboring Lands:

Staff finds no evidence of likely adverse impacts on neighboring lands in relation to this zoning change request. Surrounding properties consist of single-family and multi-family development. The rezoning for six (6) residential units is consistent with the area and is a moderate request when compared with the adjacent “MF-33.”

3. Suitability as Presently Zoned:

The current “R-6” Residential Single-Family is an appropriate zoning for the property and surrounding area. The proposed “IDZ” allows for single-family development at a slightly higher density. It is also a suitable density for a property located along Blanco Road.

4. Health, Safety and Welfare:

Staff has not found indication of likely adverse effects on the public health, safety, or welfare.

5. Public Policy:

The rezoning request does not appear to conflict with the land use goals and strategies of the Midtown Neighborhoods Plan.

Goals:

- Objective 2.2: Housing Character Maintain the historic character of the neighborhoods’ housing while building on the increased demand for area homes to attract reinvestment by new families.
- Objective 2.3: Home Improvement and Maintenance Improve the condition, appearance and maintenance of the neighborhoods’ housing and yards.
- Objective 2.1: Neighborhood Appearance/ Streetscape/Landscape Preserve and enhance the neighborhood character and pedestrian-friendly environment.

The proposed rezoning meets the following criteria:

- The applicant’s request the Master Plan’s Policy for Neighborhoods - Policy 1a, because it rezones vacant or underutilized property in and around neighborhoods to encourage redevelopment that is compatible in use and intensity with the existing neighborhood.
- The applicant’s request the Master Plan’s Policy for Neighborhoods - Policy 1d, because it promotes conversion or adaptive reuse of vacant or underutilized commercial buildings to provide affordable infill housing.
- The applicant’s request the Master Plan’s Policy for Neighborhoods - Policy 4a, because it preserves and revitalizes housing and promotes targeted infill housing in neighborhoods, particularly older neighborhoods located inside Loop 410.
- The applicant’s request the Master Plan’s Policy for Urban Design - Policy 1d, because it develops criteria and procedures for infill development which will enhance the character of neighborhoods.

6. Size of Tract:

The subject property is 0.310, which would adequately support low and medium density residential uses.

7. Other Factors:

Infill Development Zone (IDZ) provides flexible standards for developments. IDZ is to encourage and facilitate development on vacant, bypassed lands, or the redevelopment of underutilized buildings of structures, within existing built-up areas. IDZ may be approved as either a base zoning district or an overlay zoning district. Standards required in an IDZ district shall apply to either IDZ base zoning or the IDZ overlay district except where otherwise specifically stated. Typically IDZ gives flexibility to parking requirements, lots sizes, and setbacks.

- The applicant’s request meets the Master Plan’s Policy for Growth Management - Policy 1g, because it makes physical improvements on an inner city property encouraging redevelopment and infill development.
- The applicant’s request the Master Plan’s Policy for Neighborhoods - Policy 2b, because it creates mixed-use

districts.

- The applicant's request the Master Plan's Policy for Urban Design - Policy 1d, because it develops criteria and procedures for infill development which will enhance the character of neighborhoods.
- The applicant's request the Master Plan's Policy for Urban Design - Policy 4b, because it incentivizes property to encourage development in underutilized urban areas.