



City of San Antonio

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 19-8083
Type: Zoning Case
In control: Board of Adjustment
On agenda: 11/4/2019
Title: (Continued from 10/21/2019) BOA-19-10300117: A request by Jorge de La Vega for a 1.5' variance from the 5' required side setback requirement to allow a structure to be 3.5' away from the east property line, located at 429 Natalen Ave. Staff recommends Approval. (Council District 2) (Rachel Smith, Planner (210) 207- 5407, rachel.smith@sanantonio.gov, Development Services Department)
Sponsors:
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. BOA-19-10300117 attachments

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Case Number: BOA-19-10300117
Applicant: Jorge De La Vega
Owner: Roberto Garza
Council District: 2
Location: 429 Natalen Avenue
Legal: Lot 133 Block 3 NCB 6781
Description:
Zoning: “MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke
Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field
Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District
Case Manager: Rachel Smith, Planner

Request

A request for a 1.5' variance from the 5' required side setback requirement, as described in Section 35-310.01, to allow a structure to be 3.5' away from the east property line.

Executive Summary

The subject property is a lot with two two-story single-family residences constructed. The two residences are newly constructed. The Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation District (NCD) requires that all single-family dwellings be at least 10 feet away from other dwellings, and the applicant has met that and all other requirements of the NCD.

Zoning History

The subject property is located within the original thirty-six square mile area of San Antonio and was zoned “D” Apartment District. Under the 2001 Unified Development Code, established by Ordinance 93881, dated May 03, 2001, the property zoned “D” Apartment District converted to the current “MF-33” Multi-family District.

Code Enforcement History

No code enforcement history exists on this property.

Permit History

Residential building permits pulled with inspections scheduled after construction almost complete. The applicant is seeking a variance to complete the home for occupancy.

Subject Property Zoning/Land Use

Existing Zoning	Existing Use
“MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District	Two single-family dwellings

Surrounding Zoning/Land Use

Orientation	Existing Zoning District(s)	Existing Use
North	“MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District	Single-family dwelling
South	“MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District	Multi-family buildings
East	“MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District	Single-family dwelling
West	“MF-33 NCD-6 MLOD-3 MLR-2” Multi-Family Mahncke Park Neighborhood Conservation Martindale Army Air Field Overlay Military Lighting Region 2 District	Single-family dwelling

Comprehensive Plan Consistency/Neighborhood Association

The subject property is designated as “Urban Low-Density Residential” in the Midtown Regional Center Area plan. This property is in the boundaries of the Mahncke Park Neighborhood Association.

Street Classification

Natalen Avenue is classified as a local street.

Criteria for Review

According to Section 35-482(e) of the UDC, in order for a variance to be granted, the applicant must demonstrate all of the following

1. *The variance is not contrary to the public interest.*

The public interest is defined as the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. In this case, the public interest is represented by setbacks that maintain neighborhood character. The 1.5' side setback is not contrary to public interest as it does not negatively impact any surrounding properties or the general public. The neighboring residential dwelling is 21' away from this structure.

2. *Due to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship.*

The special conditions on this lot are the uniquely narrow lot; a literal enforcement would result in the destruction of the wall of the second home on the lot.

3. *By granting the variance, the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice will be done.*

The spirit of the ordinance is the intent of the code, rather than the strict letter of the law. The intent of the code is to establish cohesive development that preserves the public interest. The request to reduce the side setback observes the intent of the code as the property complies with other requirements in neighborhood design and similar placements are found within the neighborhood.

4. *The variance will not authorize the operation of a use other than those uses specifically authorized in the zoning district in which the variance is located.*

The variance will not authorize the operation of a use other than those uses specifically authorized by the zoning district.

5. *Such variance will not substantially injure the appropriate use of adjacent conforming property or alter the essential character of the district in which the property is located.*

The request will not injure the rights of neighboring properties as the reduction does not detract from the character of the neighborhood. The adjacent homes on either side are at least 10 feet from the structure and the requested variance will not alter this distance.

6. *The plight of the owner of the property for which the variance is sought is due to unique circumstances existing on the property, and the unique circumstances were not created by the owner of the property and are not merely financial, and are not due to or the result of general conditions in the district in which the property is located.*

The unique circumstance existing here is not the fault of the owner of the property, nor is it due to, or the result of, general conditions in the community in which it is located.

Alternative to Applicant's Request

The alternative to the applicant's request is to conform to the setback limitations established by the Unified Development Code Section 35-310.01.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the variance in **BOA-19-10300117**, based on the following findings of fact:

1. The proposed project will not detract from the community character of the community.