

City of San Antonio

Agenda Memorandum

File Number: 18-5200

Agenda Item Number: 2.

Agenda Date: 9/10/2018

In Control: Planning Commission

DEPARTMENT: Development Services Department

DEPARTMENT HEAD: Michael Shannon, PE, CBO

COUNCIL DISTRICTS IMPACTED: Citywide

SUBJECT: UDC Zoning Amendments

SUMMARY:

Make recommendations on amendments to Chapter 35, Unified Development Code, of the City Code of San Antonio, Texas, Section 35-343 Infill Development Zone, Section 35-310.05a Single-Family Residential, and Section 35-341 Mixed Use District.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Pursuant to a City Council Request (CCR) jointly submitted by Council Districts 1 and 7, Development Services staff was asked to review current Infill Development Zone regulations and bring forth possible recommendations to improve development standards that protect the essential character and integrity of San Antonio's established neighborhoods.

DSD staff organized an IDZ Task Force, consisting of 15 members from both neighborhoods and the development community. The Task Force met seven times to discuss proposed changes to current "IDZ" regulations. The Task Force also recommended changes to the "MXD" Mixed Use District regulations, and recommend adoption of two new small-lot single-family residential zoning categories.

During the first PCTAC meeting, several members expressed concern over specific regulations related to design requirements, size limitations, and allowances for minor amendments. Subsequently, DSD staff reconvened the IDZ Task Force, which has since recommended edits to the draft ordinance to address these concerns.

ISSUE:

Current IDZ regulations, while broadly permissive, have led to conflict between the development community and the neighborhoods that they are attempting to build in. Currently, "IDZ" development standards are minimal and lack important detail. As a result, "IDZ" has garnered a reputation as an unpredictable development tool.

The proposed amendments to the "IDZ" regulations require more detail from applicants seeking that zoning district and give the public a much better understanding of the proposed project which will help to streamline projects that would otherwise be stalled by unpredictability.

The IDZ Task Force also recommended that the current Mixed Use District regulations be updated by enhancing the site plan requirements and relaxing setbacks to open up the zone for development outside of Loop 410, where "IDZ" zoning is not available.

Lastly, the Task Force recommends the creation of two new zoning districts: "R-1" Residential Single-Family District and "R-2" Residential Single-Family District to ease the burdens on property owners that currently have no alternative other than "IDZ" for the development of their property.

Amendments since the PCTAC last considered the draft ordinance include:

1 · ·	Proposed Revisions
Consideration	
Required applications to be considered by HDRC before Zoning Commission consideration if within Historic or RIO district.	Removed the HDRC review requirement prior to the rezoning process.
Established max size of 5 acres for any "IDZ".	Removed maximum size for all "IDZ" projects.
Proposed "IDZ" zoning designations did not differentiate regulations based on size.	"IDZ-2" and "IDZ-3" have different regulations depending if they are over or under one acre.
	"IDZ-1" required to submit a site plan and Ground Plan Comparison Form. Waived if only developing a single-family home.
Ground Plan Comparison Form.	"IDZ-2" less than 1 acre required to submit a detailed site plan and Ground Plan Comparison Form. "IDZ-2" over 1 acre to submit a site plan showing the location of proposed uses and location of the buildable area.
Plan Comparison Form, and a massing model.	"IDZ-3" less than 1 acre required to submit a detailed site plan and Ground Plan Comparison Form. "IDZ-3" over 1 acre to submit a site plan showing the location of proposed uses and location of the buildable area.
structures.	As a result of the discussion related to "IDZ-2" and "IDZ-3" projects greater than 1 acre, the requirement to show height of all structures was eliminated. However, the site plan may show maximum building height.
No amendment language was included in the draft to allow for a slight increase in intensity/density for "IDZ" rezoning requests.	-

ALTERNATIVES:

As an alternative, the Technical Advisory Committee may choose not to move forward with approving actions needed to amend the Unified Development Code.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends Approval.