

City of San Antonio

Agenda Memorandum

File Number:19-2655

Agenda Item Number: 4.

Agenda Date: 3/18/2019

In Control: Board of Adjustment

Case Number:	BOA-19-10300019
Applicant:	Faye and Don Fegley
Owner:	Faye and Don Fegley
Council District:	6
Location:	7110 Blazewood
Legal	Lot 33, Block 65, NCB 18305
Description:	
Zoning:	"R-4 AHOD" Residential Single-Family Airport Hazard
	Overlay District
Case Manager:	Mercedes Rivas, Planner

<u>Request</u>

A request for an 5' variance from the 20' rear setback requirement, as described in Section 35-310.01, to allow an addition to be 15' from the rear property line.

Executive Summary

The subject property is located at 7110 Blazewood and is a one story single-family residence. The applicant is requesting a reduction of the rear setback line in order to construct an addition that will consist of about 629 square feet of air conditioned space and about 287 square feet of a covered patio. The applicant will comply with the front and side setback requirements.

Code Enforcement History

No Code Enforcement history exists on the property.

Permit History

The applicant is waiting for variance to be approved to begin renovations.

Subject Property Zoning/Land Use

Existing Zoning

"R-6" Residential Single-Family District	Single-Family Dwelling

Surrounding Zoning/Land Use

Orientation	Existing Zoning District(s)	Existing Use
North	"R-6" Residential Single-Family District	Single-Family Dwelling
South	"R-6" Residential Single-Family District	Single-Family Dwelling
East	"R-6" Residential Single-Family District	Single-Family Dwelling
West	"R-6" Residential Single-Family District	Single-Family Dwelling

Comprehensive Plan Consistency/Neighborhood Association

The property is located within the boundaries of the Northwest Community Plan and currently designated as "Low Density Residential" in the future land use component of the plan. The subject property is not located within a Neighborhood Association.

Street Classification

Blazewood is classified as a Local Street.

Criteria for Review

According to Section 35-482(e) of the UDC, in order for a variance to be granted, the applicant must demonstrate all of the following:

1. The variance is not contrary to the public interest.

The public interest is defined as the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. In this case, the proposed addition will be 15' away from the rear property line. Staff finds the request is not contrary to the public interest.

- Due to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship.
 A literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship by requiring the proposed addition to be moved to meet the rear setback.
- 3. By granting the variance, the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice will be done. The intent of rear setback is to create an open area without crowding of structures and to establish uniform development standards to protect the rights of property owners. The proposed addition will not significantly disrupt uniformity and will not injure the rights of adjacent property owners.
- 4. The variance will not authorize the operation of a use other than those uses specifically authorized in the district in which the request for a variance is located.
 The variance will not authorize the operation of a use other than those uses specifically authorized in the zoning district.
- 5. Such variance will not substantially injure the appropriate use of adjacent conforming property or alter the essential character of the district in which the property is located.

The addition will not detract from the neighborhood as the addition will not deviate from the existing side setbacks and further, the rear addition is unlikely to go noticed. The proposed

addition does not injure the adjacent property.

6. The plight of the owner of the property for which the variance is sought is due to unique circumstances existing on the property, and the unique circumstances were not created by the owner of the property and are not merely financial, and are not due to or the result of general conditions in the district in which the property is located. The unique circumstance in this case is that there is not enough space within the required setbacks. This issue is not merely financial in nature.

Alternative to Applicant's Request

The alternative to the applicant's plan would be to comply with the rear building setbacks as defined within Section 35-310.01.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends APPROVAL of the variance in BOA-19-10300019, based on the following findings of fact:

- 1. The request does not negatively impact surrounding property owners and does not significantly alter the appearance of the district; and
- 2. The proposed addition maintains the existing side setbacks.